IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA SOUTHERN DIVISION No. 7:15-CV-105-D

OTIS LEE CHERRY,)
Plaintiff,)
v.	ORDER
CAROLYN W. COLVIN, Acting Commissioner of Social Security,)))
Defendant.))

On April 20, 2016, Magistrate Judge Gates issued a Memorandum and Recommendation ("M&R") [D.E. 34] and recommended that plaintiff's motion for judgment on the pleadings [D.E. 26] be granted, that defendant's motion for judgment on the pleadings [D.E. 31] be denied, and that the action be remanded to the Commissioner. Neither party objected to the M&R.

"The Federal Magistrates Act requires a district court to make a de novo determination of those portions of the magistrate judge's report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made." <u>Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co.</u>, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (alteration, emphasis, and quotation omitted). Absent a timely objection, "a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." <u>Id.</u> (quotation omitted).

The court has reviewed the M&R, the record, and the briefs. The court is satisfied that there is no clear error on the face of the record. Accordingly, the court adopts the conclusions in the M&R [D.E. 34]. Plaintiff's motion for judgment on the pleadings [D.E. 26] is GRANTED, defendant's

motion for judgment on the pleadings [D.E. 31] is DENIED, and the action is REMANDED to the Commissioner under sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g).

SO ORDERED. This $\underline{12}$ day of May 2016.

JAMES C. DEVER III

Chief United States District Judge